

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unsto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/272,075	03/18/1999	ANDREAS HENNIGER	GR-98-P-1381	5171
.7	7590 07/02/2003	.•		
LERNER AND GREENBERG			EXAMINER	
	POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 330222480		CHANG, JUNGWON	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	20
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		CH				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/272,075	HENNIGER ET ÄL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jungwon Chang	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	April 2003					
_	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a	\-(d\ or (f)				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/272,075

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Echensperger et al. (US 6,199,160 B1).
- 4. As to claim 1, Echensperger et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, including a telecommunication system having a remote administration function (col. 6, lines 2-7) comprising:

a telecommunications apparatus (2, fig. 2) defining a virtual terminal (4, 5, fig. 2) with properties of a terminal with administration authorization (col. 6, lines 54-62); and a remote computer (T, fig. 2) connected to said telecommunications apparatus (2, fig. 2), and a data stream to and from said terminal being diverted to said remote computer (col. 5, lines 62-66).

- 5. As to claim 2, Echensperger et al. further disclose that said terminal with administration authorization is a telephone (col. 6, lines 2-7).
- 6. As to claim 6, Echensperger et al. disclose said remote computer has a message

Art Unit: 2154

interpreter and an emulator providing an interface and functionality of said terminal with administration authorization (col. 4, lines 51-67).

- 7. As to claims 7 and 8, Echensperger et al. disclose said telecommunications apparatus has a data interface (API, 6, fig. 3) and control traffic between said virtual port and said telecommunication apparatus is diverted to said data interface (col. 6, lines 44-53).
- 8. Claims 3-5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Echensperger et al. (US 6,199,160 B1).
- 9. As to claims 3-5, Echensperger et al. do not specifically disclose a virtual port. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a virtual port in Echensperger et al's system because doing so would provide a communication port, or a command/status port for accessing status and performance information about the communication device, thereby it could establish a communications connection.
- 10. As to claims 9-11, Echensperger et al. disclose the telecommunication apparatus has a device handler (5, fig. 2) and virtual terminals (4, fig. 2) inside of the device handler for ports in third OSI layer (col. 1, lines 15-30); and call processing unit has an application interface (API, 6, fig. 2). Echensperger et al. do not specifically disclose UPN

Application/Control Number: 09/272,075

Art Unit: 2154

terminal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include UPN (universal personal number) terminal because virtual terminal is known as a universal terminal so any terminal can talk with any host computer.

- 11. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer et al (US 6,185,290 B1).
- 12. As to claim 1, Shaffer et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, including a telecommunication system having a remote administration function (col. 2, lines 29-42), comprising:

a telecommunications apparatus (238, 240, 242, fig. 2) defining a terminal with properties of a terminal with administration authorization (col. 14, lines 64-67; col. 15, lines 1-16; col. 27, lines 15-29); and

a remote computer (207, 248, fig. 2) connected to said telecommunications apparatus, and a data stream to and from said terminal being diverted to said remote computer (col. 17, lines 61-67; col. 18, lines 1-9; col. 27, lines 30-48).

13. Shaffer et al. do not specifically disclose terminal is a virtual terminal. However, Shaffer et al. disclose that the terminal (213, 231, fig. 2) is defined as a standard on the network that can handle diverse terminals (col. 27, lines 15-29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include

Application/Control Number: 09/272,075 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

the virtual terminal to Shaffer et al. because it would provide for any terminal to communicate with any host computer.

- 14. As to claim 2, Shaffer et al. further disclose that said terminal with administration authorization is a telephone (col. 27, lines 50-61).
- 15. As to claims 3-5, Shaffer et al. disclose said telecommunication apparatus has a virtual unit and port (col. 34, lines 64-67; col. 35, lines 8-16).
- 16. As to claim 6, Shaffer et al. disclose said remote computer has a message interpreter and an emulator providing an interface and functionality of said terminal with administration authorization (col. 28, lines 1-5).
- 17. As to claim 7, Shaffer et al. disclose said telecommunications apparatus has a data interface and control traffic between said virtual port and said telecommunication apparatus is diverted to said data interface (214, fig. 2; col. 29, lines 45-67; col. 30, lines 1-9; col. 34, lines 64-67; col. 35, lines 8-16).
- 18. As to claim 8, Shaffer et al. disclose said data interface is selected from the group consisting of a V.24 interface, an analog modem and an integrated service digital network card (col. 28, lines 6-13).

Application/Control Number: 09/272,075

Art Unit: 2154

- 19. As to claims 9-11, Shaffer et al. further disclose said telecommunications apparatus having a call processing unit (fig. 3; col. 15, lines 17-28; col. 27, lines 30-48); said call processing unit has an application interface (col. 8, lines 26-37; col. 11, lines 2-15; col. 24, lines 31-34).
- 20. Applicant's arguments filed 4/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 21. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that
- (1) Echensperger and Shaffer do not disclose a word "administration"
- (2) Echensperger does not show remote administration of a telecommunications apparatus.
- 22. Examiner respectfully traverses applicants' remarks.

As to points (1) and (2), the term "administration" is broadly used in independent claim 1 and does not adequately describe the function of administration or performance of administration (i.e. is it intended to mean that remotely administrates data/information stored in the virtual terminal?). Echensperger does not specifically use the term "administration". However, Echensperger discloses that the remote terminal (T, fig. 2) is connected to the virtual terminal (4, fig. 2) via communication link (L_t1, fig. 2) in order to transmit data streams between the two terminals (i.e. remote administration; col. 7,

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/272,075

Art Unit: 2154

lines 21-38). When tasks are performed including updating, or installing new information remotely from another machine in a network, that is called remote administration.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9669.

Jungwon Chang June 27, 2003

N. Sitherd